UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

This space for Clerk's Office File Stamp

TO: U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE / U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE FROM: K. Hammerly, Deputy Clerk RECEIVED DATE: 8/18/2008	
	8cv476 DOCUMENT FILED BY: Plaintiff Zuck
	Zuck v. FBI
DOCUMENT ENTITLED: Response to Order	
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:	
✓ Local Rule	Discrepancy
5.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or supporting documentation
5.3	Document illegible or submitted on thermal facsimile paper
5.4	Document not filed electronically. Notice of Noncompliance already issued.
7.1 or 47.1	Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with rules/Document(s) are not timely
7.1 or 47.1	Lacking memorandum of points and authorities in support as a separate document
7.1 or 47.1	Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions
7.1	Missing table of contents
15.1	Amended pleading not complete in itself
30.1	Depositions not accepted absent a court order
	Supplemental documents require court order
ā	Default Judgment in sum certain includes calculated interest
X	OTHER:5.2 missing proof of service
	Date forwarded: <u>8/18/2008</u> ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE JUDGE
IT IS HEREBY (
The docum	nent is to be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED. and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.	
Rejected d	ocument to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF:	
Dated: cc: All Par	8/18/06 By: LCZ